CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 342 /2007

PETITIONER :: Sanat Kumar Chandrakar, S/o. Shri Shatrughan Lal Chandrakar, aged about 44 years, Occupation Government Servant, Assistant Teacher at Govt. High School, Baya, Block Kasdol, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 281/07 Mushtaq Alam
Presented on 9/1/07
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

:2) District Education Officer, District Raipur (C.G.)

:3) Block Education Officer, Kasdol District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S.)No.342/07

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	22-1-2007 **Mr. Deepak Jain, Mr. Vivek Verma, Mrs. Hamida Siddique, Mr. Mahfooj Alam, Mr. Rakesh Anthony, Mr. Somkant Verma and Mr. Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner(s).** **Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate, Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate and Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.** **With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of.** **Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S.) No.322 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately.** **A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.** **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Gopal

P.T.O.

29/1/07

(19)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.322 OF 2007

Petitioner : Raj Kapoor Kesharwani

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.335 OF 2007

Petitioner : Devi Singh Dhruv

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.342 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sanat Kumar Chandrakar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.343 OF 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Ganga Gilahre and others

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

(20)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.346 OF 2007

Petitioners : Gokul Ram Sahu and another

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.352 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. M.B. Banjare

versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.353 OF 2007

Petitioner : Yad Ram Banjare

versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.3312 OF 2005

Petitioner : Nagendra Kumar Acharya

versus

Respondents : State of Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh) and others

(21)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4685 OF 2005

Petitioner : Ishwar Lal Kunjam

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5075 OF 2005

Petitioner : Heeraman Singh Kashyap

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Deepak Jain, Mr. Vivek Verma, Mrs. Hamida Siddique, Mr. Mahfooj Alam, Mr. Rakesh Anthony, Mr. Somkant Verma and Mr. Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate, Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate and Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

(22)

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** **vs.** ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal